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What are the advantages of new treatment modalities compared with conventional root canal therapy? The potential benefits to patients and the profession are groundbreaking. From a public health point of view, the recent advances in tissue management and wound healing, compared with the current form of root canal therapy, which is more of a mechanical and chemical process, should be reflected in our clinical management to develop more biocompatible treatment modalities and increase tooth longevity.

In the past, it was unthinkable that the tissue in the periapical region of a non-vital infected tooth could regenerate. Case reports published during the last 15 years have demonstrated convincingly in humans that this type of environment may create the ideal clinical outcome if disinfection can be achieved, just as it is for the canals in the case of dental avulsion... When it comes to implementing new treatment modalities in daily practice, do you think the endodontic community is somewhat divided or is the specialty as a whole on the verge of a major paradigm shift?

The debate on clinical technique and the concept of regenerative and revascularisation per se is not a product of modern medicine. The varying treatments for the tooth pulp during the last three centuries illustrate this clearly. Recently, various treatment concepts have been suggested using less-invasive approaches. Even though an optimal treatment protocol is lacking, however, many case reports and case series on pulp therapy have been published.

Once considered taboo, vital pulp treatment of symptomatic permanent teeth with mineral trioxide aggregate has been reported to be successful, and greatly improved prognoses for permanent retention are now possible.

More high-quality cohort studies would strengthen the evidence-based recommendations. However, the current best available evidence allows clinicians to provide these treatment modalities safely to patients.

Globally, what is necessary to implement this new approach to endodontic treatment? A reparative, biological approach to pulp therapy is not only welcome, but also absolutely essential. Ideally, the delivery of biologically based endodontic procedures must be more clinically effective than current treatments and the method of delivery must also be efficient, cost-effective and free of health hazards or side-effects for patients. A recent study has suggested that endodontic practitioners are supportive and optimistic about the future use of regenerative endodontic procedures.

Best practice guidelines must be addressing current endodontic challenges and conflicting priorities between conventional endodontic therapies, but positioning practitioners at the forefront of this field. We are changing protocols, towards going biological. This path to the future with various potential approaches based on clinical and scientific results presented in the professional literature will lead to predictable conservative treatment that may enable practitioners to fill a root canal with nature's tissue instead of plastic materials or artificial surgical prostheses. The important challenge facing us now is to develop and adapt a safe, effective and consistent method for regenerating a functional pulp–dentine complex in our patients.

Thank you very much for the interview.

Editorial note: At the 19th Scientific Congress of the Asian Pacific Endodontic Confederation, which will be held from 5 to 8 April in New Delhi in India, Tahun will be addressing current endodontic challenges and conflicting priorities between conventional therapies and new treatment modalities in his lecture “Can we do it forever?”